


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Richmond Division



TYRONE HENDERSON,  
for himself and on  
behalf of all similarly  
situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:11cv514

VERIFICATIONS INCORPORATED,


Defendant.

**ORDER**

It appearing that the above-captioned case is set for an Initial Pretrial Conference on October 27, 2011; and, upon examination of the file and consideration of the Court's congested docket, and it appearing that this action would be sooner resolved if the parties consent to referral of the case in its entirety to a Magistrate Judge as the presiding judicial officer (of course, preserving the right to trial by jury if such has been demanded), it is hereby ORDERED that counsel shall confer with their respective clients and with each other to determine whether both parties will consent to assignment of the case to a Magistrate Judge as the presiding judicial officer. To that end, counsel for the plaintiff shall assure that the foregoing conferences occur not later than October 17, 2011, and that the court is informed not later than 12:00 noon October 20, 2011 whether such consents (by all parties) have been given; and, to avoid confusion, counsel for

each party shall file, not later than 12:00 noon on October 20, 2011, a Statement Respecting Consent wherein the position of the party (whether consenting or not) shall be clearly set forth.

It is so ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/   
Robert E. Payne  
Senior United States District Judge

Richmond, Virginia  
Date: October 3, 2011